**Google Ceo: Herring In The Congress**

Google CEO Sundar Pichai is expected to testify to the House Judiciary Committee on 5 December to discuss transparency and potential bias on the platform, according to a release from the committee.

Google CEO Sundar Pichai will answer questions today for the House Judicial Committee at a critical time for the company with a growing list of spiky ethical debates and controversies.

Google's controversial plans to launch in China, codenamed Project Dragonfly. The company has drawn a number of compliments with the censorship of the Chinese government. A leaked prototype of the product blocked search results for the term 'human rights' and 'student protest.' Pichai did not mention China by name in his written opening remarks, which were released last night.

There will probably be other problems. For example, when Facebook CEO Mark Zuckerberg testified before Congress in April, the questions were very diverse.

**Congress in a private session.**

Now the Google CEO has to face lawmakers to defend his practices. The company has been accused of disrupting research results from conservative standpoints and has been criticized for considering a censored version of its platform to regain access to the Chinese market.

Pichai has followed an equally elusive approach to questions about Google's interest in China. He has been questioned several times about a Google project called Dragonfly, with which the company is experimenting with a censored search engine for China. In 2010, Google withdrew from research in China for reasons of censorship and surveillance. But in the light of questions about Dragonfly, Pichai said that the company was not planning to enter China at the moment and that it would always be transparent.

One by one the committee members accepted this answer as satisfactory and quickly to the next scandal. Only when representative David Cicilline (D-RI) looked at the subject, did the heart-shaped reactions of Pichai begin to sound hollow. In the most interesting minutes of the hearing, Cicilline asked if there were employees present at product meetings for Dragonfly. Pichai did not say.
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